HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

)	
Applicant	:	Paul H. Steen)	Examiner:
)	Len Tran
Serial No.	:	10/072,404)	
)	Art Unit:
Cnfrm. No.	:	4794)	1725
)	
Filed	:	February 8, 2002)	
)	
For	:	A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR)	
		CONTINUOUS CASTING OF A MOLTEN	Ś	
		MATERIAL	Ś	
			,	

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT **PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.705(b)**

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.705(b), Applicant hereby requests reconsideration of the determination of patent term adjustment for the above-identified application. A Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due and a Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 USC § 154(b) were mailed on February 17, 2006, by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") (copy attached as Exhibit A). The Determination of Patent Term Adjustment indicates a period of patent term adjustment of 0 days. As set forth in greater detail below, Applicant calculates the correct patent term adjustment to be 122 days.

The PAIR record for the above-identified application, which is attached as Exhibit B, indicates a Restriction Requirement was mailed by the PTO on March 18, 2003. This PAIR record also incorrectly indicates a Response to Election/Restriction was received by the PTO on December 1, 2003. Instead, as shown by the return receipt postcard attached as Exhibit C, the Response to Election/Restriction was received by the PTO on April 23, 2003, which is within the three month period as set forth in 37 CFR §1.704(b). Accordingly, 2005, 2006, 200 The PAIR record for the above-identified application, which is attached as

SN 10/072,404 - 2 -

the Applicant adjustment for the Response to Election/Restriction should be 0 days, not 166 days as set forth in the PAIR record.

Additionally, after the PTO received the Response to Election/Restriction on April 23, 2003, the PTO did not Mail Non-Final Rejection until December 9, 2003, which is greater than the four months period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.703(a)(2). Accordingly, the PTO adjustment for the Mail Non-Final Rejection should be 108 days, not 0 days as set forth in the PAIR record.

Further, the PAIR record indicates a Response After Non-Final Action was received on April 13, 2004. However, the Response After Non-Final Action included a certificate of mailing dated April 9, 2004, in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.8(a) as shown in Exhibit D. Accordingly, the Applicant adjustment for the Response After Non-Final Action should be 31 days, not 35 days as set forth in the PAIR record.

As a result, the PTO adjustment should be 245 days, the Applicant adjustment should be 123 days, and the overall patent term adjustment for the above-identified application should be 122 days.

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.705(b)(2)(iii), Applicant asserts the above-identified patent application is not subject to a terminal disclaimer. Applicant also asserts that no circumstances exist that constitute a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.705(b)(2)(iv)(B).

In compliance with 37 CFR § 1.705(b)(1), enclosed is a check to cover the \$200.00 petition fee pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.18(e). Please charge any additional necessary fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-1138.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Gunnar G. Leinberg Registration No. 35,584

NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, New York 14603-1051 Telephone: (585) 263-1128 Facsimile: (585) 263-1600 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

Vatricia Kuisley
Signature

Patricia Knisley
Type or Print Name

OIPELA		
MAY 1 9 2006	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allows ility	10/072,404	STEEN, PAUL H.
PADEMAN	Examiner	Art Unit
	Len Tran	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIG	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 12/1/05.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12, 24-32,42-51</u> .		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in this n	ational stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" o noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONME THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	f this communication to file a reply c NT of this application.	omplying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitt INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	ed. Note the attached EXAMINER'S reason(s) why the oath or declarati	AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF on is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the Abolton Company of the Notice of Draftsperson Company of the Notic	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8)		
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	t of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL mu DR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL	ust be submitted. Note the _MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		nt/Comment
of Biological Material	8. Examiner's Statement	of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/072,404

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-12, 24-32, and 42-51 are allowed.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 13-23 and 33-41 have been canceled. These non-elected claims were treated as election without traverse, mailed on December 09, 2003. However, these claims may be fired as a divisional application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,404

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

February 14, 2006

Printer Friendly 10/072,404

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTINUOUS CASTING OF A MOLTEN MATERIAL

Patent Term Adjustment History

			}			==
Patent	Term Adjustmen	KERTALINE X	pplication Nu	mber: 10/07	2,404	
		11.				Days
Fili	Filing or 371(c) Date: 02-08-2002 USP7			PTO Delay (P	PTO):	137
Issue Date of Patent: - Three Year					ears:	-
Pre-Issu	Pre-Issue Petitions (days): +0 Applicant Delay(APPL):					293
	e Petitions (days):			Total		
	Adjustment(days):		Explanation	Of Calculation	ons	
02110		Term Adjust				
	, atten	Torin Trajus			ΑP	PL
Date	Conte	nts Description	on	PTO(Days)		iys)
02-17-2006	Mail Notice of Al	lowance				
02 17 2006	Mail Evaminer's	Amendment				
02 17 2000	Notice of Allowa	nce Data Verif	ication			
02-14-2006	Completed			İ		
	Examiner's Amer	dment Commu	unication			
	Date Forwarded t					
12-01-2005	Response after No	on-Final Action	n			
	IFW TSS Process					
09-14-2005	Complete					
09-07-2005	Mail Non-Final R	ejection				
09-06-2005	Non-Final Reject	ion			_	
07-06-2005	Date Forwarded t	o Examiner			_	
07-06-2005	Date Forwarded to Evaminer					
07.06.2005	DISPOSAL FOR	A RCE/CPA/	129 (express			
07-00-2003	5 DISPOSAL FOR A RCE/CPA/129 (express abandonment if CPA)					
	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)					92
06-28-2005	Request for Extension of Time - Granted					•
06-28-2005	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin					1
12-28-2004	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326) 137					
	Final Rejection					
	Date Forwarded t			1		
04-13-2004	Response after N	on-Final Actio	n	1		35
	Request for Exter			1		1
	Workflow incom		t IFW	•		
	Mail Non-Final F		<u></u>	•		<u> </u>
	Non-Final Rejection					
	Date Forwarded to Examiner					
12-01-2003	Response to Election / Restriction Filed 166					
	Mail Restriction Requirement					
03-17-2003	Requirement for	Restriction / E	lection	1		
03-11-2003	Reference capture on IDS					
03-11-2003	Information Disc	losure Stateme	ent (IDS) Filed			· ·
	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU					
05-30-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU					
				•		

05-15-2002	Application Dispatched from OIPE		
05-06-2002	Application Is Now Complete	4	
04-05-2002	Additional Application Filing Fees	1	
04-05-2002	Applicant has submitted new drawings to correct Corrected Papers problems	•	
	Corrected Paper	fr	
02-25-2002	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review		
02-08-2002	Reference capture on IDS	•	
02-08-2002	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	•	
02-08-2002	Initial Exam Team nn	•	

Close Window



UTILITY/DESIGN PATENT (amend/final amend) Rec'd in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on the date stamped hereon via Certificate of Mail: Case # 19603 3810 S/N: 10 072, 444 Filed: February 8, 2002 Pat. #	
Batch: Applicant: Paul H. Steen Title: A System and method for Continuous (author of moltan) Re-Exam Control # VERIFIED BY: Asst: Som Transmittal Sheet in duplicate Change of Address APR 2 3 7003 Change of Address APR 2 3 7003 Change of Address Change of Address Change of Address Apr 2 3 7003 Change of Address Apr 2 3 7003 Change of Address Change of Add	atria

RECEIVED APR 2 8 2003

Nixon Peabody LLP

ENTERED

MAY 05 2003

FILE 19603 3817



Application Number 10/072,404 TRANSMITTAL February 8, 2002 Filing Date FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) First Named Inventor Paul H. Steen Group Art Unit 1725 Examiner Name Len Tran Total Number of Pages in This Submission 19603/3810 (CRF D-2693) Attorney Docket Number ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form After Allowance Communication to Group Assignment Papers (for an Application) Appeal Communication to Board of Fee Attached Appeals and Interferences Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment / Reply Declaration and Power of Attorney After Final Proprietary Information Licensing-related Papers Status Letter Petition Affidavits/declaration(s) Application Data Sheet Petition to Convert to a Provisional Extension of Time Request (one-month) Request for Corrected Filing Receipt with Enclosures ■ Express Abandonment Request Power of Attorney, Revocation X A self-addressed, prepaid postcard for Change of Correspondence Address acknowledging receipt Information Disclosure Statement Terminal Disclaimer Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Certified Copy of Priority Request for Refund Document(s) .CD, Number of CD(s) Incomplete Application A copy of the Notice to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1138 for the above identified docket number. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq. Nixon Peabody LLP Individual name Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, New York 14603-1051 Telephone: (585) 263-1014 Fax: (585) 263-1600 Registration No. 35,584 Signature April 9, 2004 Date CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)] I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: 🗷 deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop _____, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703)April 9, 2004 Signature Date

Sherri A. Moscato
Typed or printed name

FEE TRANSMITTAL

FOR FY 2003

YAM	1	9	5008
-----	---	---	-------------

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 \$1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

nplete if Known				
Application Number	10/072,404			
Fling Date	February 8, 2002			
First Named Inventor	Paul H. Steen	•		
aminer Name	Len Tran			
Art Unit	1725			
Attorney Docket No.	19603/3810 (CRF D-2693)			

METHOD O	F PAYMENT (check all that apply)				FE	E CALCI	JLATION (con	tinued)	
E Check Credit	Card Money Other None	lone 3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
Deposit Account:		Large	Entity	Small	Entity				
Deposit		Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee		Fee Descrip	tion "	·
Account 14-1	38	Code 1051	(S) 130	Code 2051 -	(\$) 65	Sumbarne .	- late filing fee or	ooth	
- Nulliber		1052	50	2052	25	•	-	filing fee or cover	
		1.052	50		• • •	sheet	idio providioiza		
Deposit		1053	130	1053	130	Non-Englis	sh specification	•	
Account N1XO	Peabody LLP	1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a	request for ex pa	rte reexamination	
Name (1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting	publication of SI	R prior to Examiner	
The Commissioner is	uthorized to: (check all that apply)					action			
Charge fee(s) indicate	ed below Credit any overpayments	1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting	publication of SI	K after Examiner	
Charge any addition	l fee(s)	1251	110	2251	55		for reply within fi	rst month	\$55.00
Charge fee(s) indicar	ed below, except for the filing fee	1252	420	2252	210	Extension	for reply within se	econd month	
to the above-identified dep		1253	950	2253	475		for reply within th		
	EE CALCULATION	1254	1,480	2254	740		for reply within fo		
1. BASIC FILING FE		1255	2,010	2255	1,005		for reply within fi		
	Entity	1401	330	2401	. 165	Notice of A			
Fee Fee Fee	Fee Fee Description			2402			•		
Code (\$) Code	(S) Fee Paid	1402	330		165	-	ief in support of a	n appear	
		1403	290	2403	145		r oral hearing		
1001 770 2001	385 Utility filing fee	1451	1,510	1451	1,510		institute a public		
1002 340 2002	170 Design filing fee	1452	110	2452	55		revive – unavoida		
1003 530 2003 ⁻	265 · Plant filing fee	1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to	revive – unintenti	ional	
1004 770 2004	385 Reissue filing fee	1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issu	e fee (or reissue)	•	
1005 160 2005	80 Provisional filing fee	1502	480	2502	240	Design issu			
		1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue			
	SUBTOTAL (1) $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (5) 0	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to	the Commission	ет	
		1807	50	1807	50	Processing	fee under 37 CFF	R 1:17(q)	
2. EXTRA CLAIM	FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE	1806	180	1806	180	Submission	n of Information I	Disclosure Stmt	
	Fee from	8021	40	8021	40			nment per property	
Total Claims 31 -	Extra Claims below Fee Paid	1809	770	2809	385		nber of properties) braission after fina		
					***	(37 CFR 1.			<u></u>
Independent 2 -	**= 0 X 43 = 0	1810	770	2810	385	(37 CFR 1.	dditional invention .129(b))	n to be examined	
Mültiple Dependent	0 X 145 = 0	1801	770	2801	385	-	r Continued Exam	nination (RCE)	
lane Freier C	F-de.	1802	900	1802	900	Remore f-	r expedited ave-	ination of a design	
Large Entity Small Fee Fee Fee	Entity Fee Fee Description	1802	300	1002	700	application		manon or a design	
	(5)	Other	fee (specif	fy)			····		
1202 18 2202	9 Claims in excess of 20					•			
1201 86 2201	43 Independent claims in excess of 3								
1203 290 2203	145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	*Redu	ced by Ba	sic Filin	g Fee Paid		SUBTOTAL ((3) (\$) 55	
1203 290 2203	43 ** Reissue independent claims over	1					·		
1207 00 2204	original patent	1,	•					ION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	
1205 18 2205	9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and	I herel	-		•	ence is being		ha data ahassa halassa	uish auffaians
	over original patent SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0	1					velope addressed	he date shown below v to: Mail Stop	· iui suiticiem
***			Co	ommissi	oner for Pat	ents, P. O. B	lox 1450, Alexand	iria, VA 22313-1450	
or number previously	paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above	1					te shown below to	the United States Pate	ent and
•		1			Office at (,,,,,	1/4	amscot	N
•		 	April 9. Date				Jem	Signature	- .
	•.	1	Date			·	Sher	ni A. Moscato	··
	•	Ì					Typed	or printed name	
SUBMITTED BY							Complete (if a	pplicable)	- x:
	Gunnar G. Leinberg		tration N	ō. T	35,584	•		(585) 263-1014	ļ .
Name (Print/Type)		(Attor	ney/Agen	1)			Telephone	<u> </u>	
Signature	Summertenden				· ·		Date	April 9, 2004	



PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNI	DER 37 CFR 1.136(a)	Docket Number (Optional) 19603/3810 (CRF D-2693)			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	In re Application of Paul H. Steen				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with	Application Number 10/072,404 Filed 2/8/2002				
sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop , Commissioner	For A SYSTEM AND METHOD FO				
for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313- 1450, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO at , onApril 9, 2004,	A MOLTEN MATERIAL				
Signature: Shew (1 mascuto	Group Art Unit 1725	Examiner Len Tran			
Name: Sherri A, Moscato					
This is a request under the provisions reply in the above identified application	of 37 CFR 1.136(a) to extend the perion.	d for filing a			
The requested extension and appropri (check time period desired):	ate entity fee are as follows	*			
One month (37 CFR 1.1	7(a)(1)) - (\$55/\$110)	\$55.00			
☐ Two months (37 CFR 1.	17(a)(2)) - (\$210/\$420)	\$			
☐ Three months (37 CFR 1	.17(a)(3)) - (\$475/\$950)	. \$			
☐ Four months (37 CFR 1.	17(a)(4)) - (\$740/\$1480)	\$			
☐ Five months (37 CFR 1.	17(a)(5)) - (\$1005/\$2010)	\$			
Applicant claims small entity stat	us.				
☐ A check to cover the fee is enclose	ed.				
Payment by credit card. Form P7	O-2038 is attached.				
☐ The Commissioner has already be application to a Deposit Account.	een authorized to charge fees in this				
	orized to charge any additional fees whent, to Deposit Account Number 14 of this sheet.				
I am the applicant/inventor					
	entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/	SB/96).			
attorney or agent of reco	rd.				
attorney or agent under 37 CFR 1.34(a). Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34(a)					
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.					
April 9, 2004 Date	Simo	Signature			
		ınnar G. Leinberg			
	Туре	d or printed name			
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.					
Total offorms are subm	uitted.				

PATENT

Docket No.: 19603/3810 (CRF D-2693)

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants	:	Paul H. Steen)	Examine
• •)	Len Trai
Serial No.	:	10/072,404)	•
)	Art Unit
Cnfrm. No.	:	4794)	1725
Filed	:	February 8, 2002)	
For	:	A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CONTINUOUS CASTING OF A MOLTEN)	
		NAATEDIAI	1	

AMENDMENT

Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the December 9, 2003, office action, please amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Currently Amended) A system for controlling and manipulating solidification of a molten material, the system comprising:

a substrate on which the molten material is deposited; and
a writing system that imposes a gradient pattern comprising multiple

<u>elements</u> on at least a portion of <u>at least one of</u> the substrate on which the molten material is deposited and the <u>molten material</u>.

- 2. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising an erasing system positioned to substantially erase the gradient pattern imposed on the substrate.
- 3. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 where in the gradient pattern is a thermal gradient pattern.
- 4. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 1 where in wherein the gradient pattern is a compositional gradient pattern.
- 5. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 wherein the writing system comprises a laser that generates a light signal used to impose a gradient pattern on the substrate.
- 6. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 5 wherein the writing system further comprises a prism which reflects the light signal from the laser on to the substrate.
- 7. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising a drive system connected to the substrate.
- 8. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 7 wherein the substrate is a wheel.

- 9. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 7 wherein the substrate is a belt.
- 10. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 7 wherein the substrate is a product that is being coated with the molten material.
- 11. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising a source for the molten material that deposits the molten material on at least a portion of the gradient pattern formed on the substrate.
- 12. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 11 wherein the source for the molten material comprises:

a container for the molten material;

a nozzle having a passage connected to the container and positioned adjacent to and spaced from the substrate to deposit the molten material on at least a portion of the gradient pattern formed on the substrate; and

a pressure system that applies pressure to the molten material being dispensed from the nozzle on to the substrate.

13. (Withdrawn) A method for controlling and manipulating solidification of a molten material, the method comprising:

generating a gradient pattern on at least a portion of a substrate; and depositing the molten material on at least a portion of the substrate with the gradient pattern.

- 14. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 13 further comprising substantially erasing the gradient pattern imposed on the substrate after the depositing.
- 15. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 13 where in the gradient pattern is a thermal gradient pattern.
- 16. (Withdrawn) The system as set forth in claim 13 where in the gradient pattern is a compositional gradient pattern.

- 17. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 13 wherein the generating comprises directing a light signal from a laser on the substrate to impose the gradient pattern.
- 18. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 17 wherein the generating further comprises reflecting the laser light signal on to the substrate.
- 19. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 13 further comprising rotating the substrate.
- 20. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 19 wherein the substrate is a wheel.
- 21. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 19 wherein the substrate is a belt.
- 22. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 19 wherein the substrate is a product that is being coated with the molten material.
- 23. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 13 wherein the depositing further comprises applying pressure to the molten material being dispensed.
- 24. (Currently Amended) A system for continuous casting of a molten material, the system comprising:
 - a source for the molten material;
 - a substrate on which the molten material is deposited;
 - a driving system that rotates the substrate; and
- a writing system that imposes a gradient pattern comprising multiple elements on at least a portion of at least one of the substrate on which the molten material is deposited by the source and the molten material.
- 25. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 further comprising an erasing system positioned to substantially erase the gradient pattern imposed on the substrate.

- 26. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 where in the gradient pattern is a thermal gradient pattern.
- 27. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 24 where in wherein the gradient pattern is a compositional gradient pattern.
- 28. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the writing system comprises a laser that generates a light signal used to impose the gradient pattern on the substrate.
- 29. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the writing system further comprises a prism which reflects the light signal from the laser on to the substrate.
- 30. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the substrate is a wheel.
- 31. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the substrate is a belt.
- 32. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the source for the molten material comprises:

a container for the molten material;

a nozzle having a passage connected to the container and positioned adjacent to and spaced from the substrate to deposit the molten material on at least a portion of the gradient pattern formed on the substrate; and

a pressure system that applies pressure to the molten material being dispensed from the nozzle on to the substrate.

33. (Withdrawn) A method for continuous casting of a molten material, the method comprising:

rotating a substrate;

generating a gradient pattern on at least a portion of the substrate; and

depositing the molten material on at least a portion of the substrate with the gradient pattern.

- 34. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 33 further comprising substantially erasing the gradient pattern imposed on the substrate after the depositing.
- 35. (Withdrawn) The system as set forth in claim 33 where in the gradient pattern is a thermal gradient pattern.
- 36. (Withdrawn) The system as set forth in claim 33 where in the gradient pattern is a compositional gradient pattern.
- 37. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 33 wherein the generating comprises directing a light signal from a laser on the substrate to impose the gradient pattern.
 - 38. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 37 wherein the generating further comprises reflecting the laser light signal on to the substrate.
- 39. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 33 wherein the substrate is a wheel.
- 40. (Withdrawn) The method as set forth in claim 33 wherein the substrate is a belt.
- 41. (Withdrawn) The method according to claim 33 wherein the depositing further comprises applying pressure to the molten material being dispensed.
- 42. (New) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising a control system coupled to the writing system that controls the gradient pattern imposed by the writing system on the substrate.
- 43. (New) The system as set forth in claim 42 further comprising a sensor positioned to provide information about the effect of the gradient pattern on a resulting

product from the deposited molten material and coupled to the control system, the control system controls the gradient pattern imposed by the writing system in response to the provided information.

- 44. (New) The system as set forth in claim 4 wherein the compositional gradient pattern comprises at least one material deposited on the substrate.
- 45. (New) The system as set forth in claim 1 wherein the writing system imposes the gradient pattern on the substrate.
- 46. (New) The system as set forth in claim 1 wherein the writing system imposes the gradient pattern on the molten material.
- 47. (New) The system as set forth in claim 24 further comprising a control system coupled to the writing system that controls the gradient pattern imposed by the writing system on the substrate.
- 48. (New) The system as set forth in claim 47 further comprising a sensor positioned to provide information about the effect of the gradient pattern on a resulting product from the deposited molten material and coupled to the control system, the control system controls the gradient pattern imposed by the writing system in response to the provided information.
- 49. (New) The system as set forth in claim 27 wherein the compositional gradient pattern comprises at least one material deposited on the substrate.
- 50. (New) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the writing system imposes the gradient pattern on the substrate.
- 51. (New) The system as set forth in claim 24 wherein the writing system imposes the gradient pattern on the molten material.

REMARKS

Applicant has amended claims 1, 4, 24, and 27 and has added new claims 42-51. In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, Applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application, and allowance of claims 1-12, 24-32, and 42-51.

The Office has rejected claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-12, 24-28, 30, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,600,048 to Sato et al. ("Sato") and has rejected claims 6, 9, 29, and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato. The Office asserts that Sato discloses a system for controlling solidification of the molten metal with a substrate (1), a writing system (9), and an erasing system (17). The Office asserts that the writing system is for imposing a thermal gradient on the substrate and that the writing system is a laser, a drive system (2), a container for molten metal, a nozzle connected to the container, and a pressure system to apply pressure dispense on the nozzle onto the substrate (figure 1). Additionally, the Office asserts that Sato fails to teach casting with a belt and a prism to reflect light, but asserts that Sato discloses that strip casting can be used in either a roller or a belt and discloses using a laser with a condenser lens.

Sato does not disclose or suggest, "a writing system that imposes a gradient pattern comprising multiple elements on at least a portion of at least one of the substrate on which the molten material is deposited and the molten material" as recited in claims 1 and 24. The Office's attention is respectfully directed to col. 3, lines 18-21 in Sato which discloses, "Similarly to these prior arts, the present invention is also directed to a method for casting a molten metal on a chill body while heating the chill body to keep its temperature within the proper range" (See also col. 6, lines 26-31 in Sato). As described at col. 3, line 45-48 in Sato, the high density energy source is used to heat the surface layer of the chill body. Further, as illustrated in FIGS. 3 and 4 and discussed at col. 4, lines 26-36 and col. 5, lines 21-66, the methods disclose that the many reflections smear or spread the laser beam to heat the chill body, not to form any type of gradient pattern. Accordingly, the only teaching in Sato is for a heating system for providing a general heating of a surface of the chill body using a high density energy source, i.e. a laser. Nowhere does Sato teach or suggest a writing system that imposes any type of gradient pattern on the substrate, let alone a gradient pattern comprising multiple elements on the substrate or molten material.

The present invention provides a process and system for high-speed (throughput) casting of a flat product of high quality which is achieved through the use of gradient patterns comprising multiple elements. As described in paragraph 38 in the above-identified patent application, the gradient pattern affects the solidification of the molten material and thus the resulting end product. By using a gradient pattern on the substrate, a high quality ribbon product can be produced. Some examples of thermal gradient patterns which could be imposed on substrate 12 are illustrated in FIGS. 5A-5D in the above-identified patent application.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 24. Since claims 2-12 depend from and contain the limitations of claim 1 and claims 25-32 depend from and contain the limitations of claim 24, they are distinguishable over the cited reference and patentable in the same manner as claims 1 and 24.

Sato also does not disclose or suggest, "wherein the gradient pattern is a compositional gradient pattern" as recited in claims 4 and 27. The Office's attention is respectfully directed to FIGS. 1, 3, and 4 and col. 4, line 67 to col. 5, line 2 and col. 5 lines 23-25 and 50-53, which illustrate and disclose that a laser beam radiation apparatus is used to heat the chill body. Nowhere does Sato teach or suggest a writing system that imposes any type of compositional gradient pattern on the chill body.

As disclosed in paragraph 27 in the above-identified patent application, "the nozzle 21 under the control of the compositional distribution system 23 and distributes dots or other portions of material on a portion of the substrate, for example portions of liquid that dry quickly to form a solid film." As disclosed in paragraph 28 in the above-identified patent application, the compositional gradient pattern is a film deposited on the substrate which has been etched by laser ablation. Like the thermal gradient pattern, with the compositional gradient pattern, the present invention is able to control and produce a high quality ribbon product. Accordingly, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Office is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 4 and 27.

Applicant has also added new dependent claims 42-51 which are believed to be distinguishable over the cited references and in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested.

In view of all of the foregoing, applicant submits that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>april 9,2004</u>

Gunnar G. Leinberg Registration No. 35,584

NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, New York 14603-1051 Telephone: (585) 263-1014

Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

hereby certify that this correspondence is be-	ing:
	stal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as ssed to: Mail Stop, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. 450
transmitted by facsimile on the date (703)	shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office a
Date	Signature
	Sherri A. Moscato Type or Print Name